



Please circle your position on the following statements (suggestions for comment cards reviewed at FMIA Zoning Committee meeting, 11-11-13)

1. I agree / I disagree: NO "Gateways," NO Height Bonuses, Size Matters! From the Master Plan: "New buildings and public spaces benefit from the lessons learned from the city's historic neighborhoods – buildings that embody qualities of human scale, sustainability, façades that frame and enliven public streets, and a sense of responsibility for the civic quality of the neighborhoods and districts they help build." For City Planning to suggest "conditional use" for almost the entire downtown riverfront requires the neighborhoods to fight the same fight over and over- without pay- the complete opposite of the purpose of zoning.
2. I agree / I disagree: AGAINST Gateways, previously nodes, and height bonuses in Riverfront Overlay, Chapter 18.10, these elements are in direct opposition to the ideals of the Master Plan: "Working Draft 3-20-09, 4.2. "The majority of comments received on the website have centered on preserving neighborhood character, including: preventing or mitigating unwanted land uses, preserving the historic character of neighborhoods and the historic assets throughout the city, preventing demolition of historic buildings and increasing the capacity of code enforcement mechanisms."
3. I am in support of / against- keeping the existing HMC-1 zoning on Franklin Ave.
4. I am in support of / against- Mixed-Use zoning on the 2700 Block of Royal Street (Mardi Gras Zone).
5. I am in support of / against- RDO implementation in the Marigny Triangle, and am against restaurants as a permitted use - or- am for restaurants as a permitted use.
6. I am in support of / against- the conversion of dozens of lots from commercial to residential, in particular \_\_\_\_\_ (e.g.: 2326 Chartres), and my neighborhood association (FMIA) has delineated these in detail.
7. I am in support of / against- conditional use for RDO applied to lots up to 5000 sq. feet.
8. I am in support of / against- I support the changes in Minimum lot area per dwelling and Maximum FAR, 1.4.
9. I am in support of / against- city-wide reduction in Parking Requirements. It is unfair to require less parking if you own a car, especially in view of the yearly threat for possible evacuation, and the unproven/ untested municipal evacuation plan. Decreased parking is inappropriate without growth in Public Transportation. Increase in streetcars, while facilitating development, does not change the reality on the ground of commuting to work, without increased schedules.